

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,337	10/058,337 01/30/200		Kanna Aoki	1794-0148P	6134	
2292	7590	05/21/2003				
		KOLASCH &	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		A 22040-0747	•	TANG, MINH NHUT		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2829

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o. 1	Applicant(s)	/
į.		10/058,337	10/058,337 AOKI ET AL.		•
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
<u>.</u>		Minh N. Tang		2829	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the policy of the plant of th	pears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address	s
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, he y within the statutory will apply and will expire the application.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	iely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun 0 (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	ication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s)-filed on 30 c	<u> January 2002</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non	-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for Ex parte Quayl	formal matters, pree, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the me 53 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)🛛	Claim(s) $1-23$ is/are pending in the application	۱.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from conside	eration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) 🔲 🗆	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) 🗌 obje	cted to by the Exan	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)∏ appro	ved b)⊡ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office a	ection.		
12)[] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	eived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	eived in Application	n No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	Ū	e
14) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisional appli	cation).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.	• •			
Attachment	(s)				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 6	

Application/Control Number: 10/058,337

Art Unit: 2829

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, drawn to a three-dimensional photonic crystal, classified in class 257, subclass 428+.
 - II. Claims 5-21, drawn to a process for the production of a three-dimensional photonic crystal, classified in class 438, subclass 14+.
- III. Claims 22-23, drawn to a probe, classified in class 324, subclass 754.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process other than the process in the instant application.
- 3. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, groups I and II are product and process for making a three-dimensional photonic crystal meanwhile the probe in group III could be used for different purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,337

Art Unit: 2829

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. If group III is elected, a further election of species is required as follows:
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a/ Species of Figs. 15(a), 15(b), 15(c);

b/ Species of Figs. 16(a), 16(b).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,337

Art Unit: 2829

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. A telephone call was made to Mr. Muncy on May 13, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh N. Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-1652. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) first Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Cuneo, Kamand can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Minh Tang May 13, 2003